

CHAPTER 5. THE INEQUALITY $0 < x - y < y^\delta$ IN S-INTEGERS.

The results of this chapter have been published in de Weger [1987^a].

5.1. Introduction.

Let S be the set of all positive integers composed of primes from a fixed finite set $\{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$, where $s \geq 2$, and let $\delta \in (0,1)$. In this chapter we study the diophantine inequality

$$0 < x - y < y^\delta \quad (5.1)$$

in $x, y \in S$. We give explicit upper bounds for the solutions, and we show how the algorithms for homogeneous, one- and multi-dimensional diophantine approximation in the real case, that were presented in Chapter 3, can be used for finding all solutions of (5.1) for any set of parameters p_1, \dots, p_s, δ . For $s = 2$ the continued fraction method (cf. Section 3.2) is used. For $s \geq 3$ we use the L³-algorithm for reducing upper bounds (cf. Section 3.7).

Tijdeman [1973] (see also Shorey and Tijdeman [1986], Theorem 1.1) showed that there exists a computable number c , depending on $\max(p_i)$ only, such that for all $x, y \in S$ with $x > y \geq 3$,

$$x - y > y / (\log y)^c.$$

Thus, for any solution of (5.1) a bound for x, y follows. Størmer [1897] showed how to solve the equation $x - y = k$ with $k = 1, 2$ with an elementary method (see also Mahler [1935], Lehmer [1964]). Our method can solve this equation for arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For the one-dimensional case $s = 2$, Ellison [1971^b] has proved the following result: for all but finitely many explicitly given exceptions, $|2^x - 3^y| > \exp(x \cdot (\log 2 - 1/10))$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$. Cijssouw, Korlaar and Tijdeman (appendix to Stroeker and Tijdeman [1982]) have found all the solutions $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ of the inequality

$$|p^x - q^y| < p^{\delta \cdot x}$$

for all primes p, q with $p < q < 20$, and with $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$. We shall extend

these results for many more values of p , q and with $\delta = 0.9$. Further, we determine all the solutions of (5.1) for the multi-dimensional case $t = 6$, $\{p_1, \dots, p_6\} = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13\}$ with $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$.

In Section 5.2 we derive upper bounds for the solutions of (5.1). In Sections 5.3 and 5.4 we give a method for reducing such upper bounds in the one- and multi-dimensional cases respectively, and work them out explicitly for some examples. Section 5.5 contains tables with numerical data.

5.2. Upper bounds for the solutions.

We assume that the primes are ordered as $p_1 < \dots < p_s$. For a solution x, y of (5.1), the finitely many $z \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $z \cdot x, z \cdot y$ is also a solution of (5.1) can be found without any difficulty. Therefore we may assume that $(x, y) = 1$. Put

$$X = \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} \text{ord}_{p_i}(x \cdot y).$$

Put

$$C_1 = 2^{9 \cdot s + 26} \cdot s^{s+4} \cdot \max(1, \frac{1}{\log p_1}) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=2}^s \log p_i \right) \cdot \log(e \cdot \log p_{s-1}) / (1-\delta),$$

$$C_2 = 2 \cdot \log 2 / \log p_1 + 2 \cdot C_1 \cdot \log(e \cdot C_1 \cdot \log p_s).$$

THEOREM 5.1. *The solutions of (5.1) satisfy $X < C_2$.*

Proof. If $y \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot x$, then $y^\delta > x - y \geq y$, which contradicts $y \geq 1$. So $y > \frac{1}{2} \cdot x$. Put $\Lambda = \log(x/y)$. Then

$$0 < \Lambda < x/y - 1 < y^{-(1-\delta)} < (\frac{1}{2} \cdot x)^{-(1-\delta)}. \quad (5.2)$$

By $x = \max(x, y) \geq p_1^X$, we obtain

$$0 < \Lambda < 2^{1-\delta} \cdot p_1^{-X}. \quad (5.3)$$

We apply Waldschmidt's result, Lemma 2.4, to Λ , with $n = s$, $q = 2$. Note that the 'independence condition' $[\emptyset : \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset] = 2^n$ holds. Since $p_i \geq 3$ we have $V_i = \log p_i$ for $i \geq 2$. Thus

$$\Lambda > \exp \left[-(\log X + \log(e \cdot \log p_s)) \cdot c_1 \cdot (1-\delta) \cdot \log p_1 \right].$$

Combining this with (5.3) we find

$$X < c_1 \cdot \log(e \cdot \log p_s) + \log 2/\log p_1 + c_1 \cdot \log X.$$

The result now follows from Lemma 2.1, since $c_1 > e^2$. \square

Examples. With $s = 2$, $2 \leq p_i \leq 199$, $\delta = 0.9$ we have $c_1 < 2.30 \times 10^{17}$, $c_2 < 1.97 \times 10^{19}$.

With $s = 6$, $2 \leq p_i \leq 13$, $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ we find $c_1 < 8.37 \times 10^{33}$, $c_2 < 1.35 \times 10^{36}$.

5.3. Reducing the upper bounds in the one-dimensional case.

In this section we work out the examples $s = 2$, $\delta = 0.9$, and p_1, p_2 run through either the set of primes below 200, or the set of non-powers below 50. We note that for any other set of parameters p_1, p_2, δ the method works similarly. We prove the following result.

THEOREM 5.2. (a) *The diophantine inequality*

$$\left| \frac{x_1}{p_1} - \frac{x_2}{p_2} \right| < \min \left(\frac{x_1}{p_1}, \frac{x_2}{p_2} \right)^\delta \quad (5.4)$$

with p_1, p_2 primes such that $p_1 < p_2 < 200$, and

$$\begin{aligned} x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, x_1 \geq 2, x_2 \geq 2, \text{ and either } \delta &= \frac{1}{2} \\ \text{or } \delta &= 0.9, \min \left(\frac{x_1}{p_1}, \frac{x_2}{p_2} \right) > 10^{15} \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

has only the 77 solutions listed in Table I.

(b) *The diophantine inequality (5.4) with p_1, p_2 non-powers such that $2 \leq p_1 < p_2 \leq 50$ and conditions (5.5), has only the 74 solutions listed in Table II.*

Remarks. The Tables are given in Section 5.5. In Tables I, II the column "delta" gives the real number with

$$\left| \frac{x_1}{p_1} - \frac{x_2}{p_2} \right| = \min \left(\frac{x_1}{p_1}, \frac{x_2}{p_2} \right)^{\text{delta}}.$$

Note that in Theorem 5.2 we do not demand $(x_1, x_2) = 1$, and in Theorem

5.2(b) we do not demand p_1, p_2 to be primes. The conditions (5.5) are chosen, since the numerous solutions of (5.4) with $\delta = 0.9$ and $\min(p_1, p_2) \leq 10^{15}$ can be found without much effort.

Proof. Write

$$\Lambda = |x_1 \cdot \log p_1 - x_2 \cdot \log p_2|, \quad X = \max(x_1, x_2).$$

We assume that

$$\frac{X}{p_1} > 10^{25}, \quad (5.6)$$

since it is easy to find the remaining solutions. Let $\log p_1/\log p_2$ have the simple continued fraction expansion (cf. Section 3.2)

$$\log p_1/\log p_2 = [0, a_1, a_2, \dots],$$

and let the convergents be r_n/q_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We may assume that $(x_1, x_2) = 1$. First we show that $x_1 \geq x_2$, hence $X = x_1$. For if $x_1 < x_2$, then

$$\Lambda = x_2 \cdot \log p_2 - x_1 \cdot \log p_1 > X \cdot (\log p_2 - \log p_1) \geq X \cdot \log \frac{199}{197},$$

and from (5.3) and (5.6) we then infer

$$0.0101 \leq 0.0101 \cdot X < X \cdot \log \frac{199}{197} < \Lambda < 2^{0.1} \cdot 10^{-5/2} < 0.0034,$$

which is contradictory. Next we prove that

$$\frac{X/10}{p_1} > 3.1 \cdot X. \quad (5.7)$$

Namely, suppose the contrary. Then $2^{X/10} \leq 3.1 \cdot X$, and it follows that $X \leq 80$. This contradicts $3.1 \cdot X \geq \frac{X/10}{p_1} > 10^{5/2}$. From (5.3) we infer

$$\left| \frac{x_2}{X} - \frac{\log p_1}{\log p_2} \right| < \frac{2^{0.1}}{\log 2} \cdot \frac{1}{p_1} \cdot \frac{1}{X}. \quad (5.8)$$

It follows from (5.7) that

$$\left| \frac{x_2}{X} - \frac{\log p_1}{\log p_2} \right| < \frac{2^{0.1}}{\log 2} \cdot \frac{1}{3.1 \cdot X^2} < \frac{1}{2 \cdot X^2}.$$

Hence x_2/X is, by Lemma 3.1, a convergent of $\log p_1/\log p_2$, say r_k/q_k . From the example at the end of Section 5.2 we see that $X \leq X_0 < 1.97 \times 10^{19}$.

We find from (3.7) that $k \leq 92.996$, hence $k \leq 92$. Lemma 3.1 further yields: if (5.3) holds then

$$a_{k+1} > -2 + p_1^{\frac{q_k}{10}} \cdot \frac{1}{q_k} \cdot \frac{\log p_2}{2^{0.1}}, \quad (5.9)$$

and if

$$a_{k+1} > p_1^{\frac{q_k}{10}} \cdot \frac{1}{q_k} \cdot \frac{\log p_2}{2^{0.1}} \quad (5.10)$$

then (5.3) holds for $(x_1, x_2) = (q_k, r_k)$. We computed the continued fraction expansions and the convergents of all numbers $\log p_1 / \log p_2$ in the mentioned ranges for p_1, p_2 exactly up to the index n such that $q_{n-1} \leq 1.97 \times 10^{19} < q_n$ (cf. Section 2.5 for details of the computational method). Note that $n \leq 93$. We checked all convergents for (5.9), and subsequently for (5.10). It is possible, though unlikely, that there is a convergent that satisfies (5.9) but fails (5.10). We met only one such a case: $p_1 = 15, p_2 = 23$, with $\log 15 / \log 23 = [0, 1, 6, 2, 1, 51, \dots]$, so that $a_5 = 51, r_4 = 19, q_4 = 22$. Now, (5.9) holds but (5.10) fails, since

$$15^{2.2} \cdot \frac{1}{22} \cdot (\log 19) / 2^{0.1} = 51.4\dots \in [51, 53].$$

We have in this case $\Lambda = 0.002714\dots < 0.002771\dots = 2^{0.1} \cdot 15^{-2.2}$, so (5.3) is true. But $\log(15^{22} - 23^{19}) / \log(23^{19}) = 0.9008\dots > \delta$, so (5.1) is not true. This example illustrates that (5.3) is weaker than (5.1). Therefore all found solutions of (5.3) have been checked for (5.1) as well. The proof is now completed by the details of the computations, which we do not give here. \square

The computations for the proof of Theorem 5.2 took 35 sec.

5.4. Reducing the upper bounds in the multi-dimensional case.

Now let $s \geq 3$. Put $x_i = \text{ord}_{p_i}(x/y)$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Then $X = \max|x_i|$, and

$$\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^s x_i \cdot \log p_i.$$

Note that (5.3) is of the form (3.1). Hence by Theorem 5.1 we can use the method described in Section 3.7 for solving (5.3). We shall do so for the example $t = 6, \{p_1, \dots, p_6\} = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13\}$ (the first six

primes), and $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$.

We use small refinements of Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8, devised specially for this application, as follows. Let notation be as in Section 3.7.

LEMMA 5.3. *Let x_1 be a positive number such that*

$$t(\Gamma) \geq \sqrt{(4 \cdot n^2 + (n-1) \cdot \gamma^2)} \cdot x_1. \quad (5.11)$$

Then (5.3) has no solutions with for $i = 1, \dots, s$

$$\log(\gamma \cdot C \cdot \sqrt{2} / s \cdot x_1) / \frac{1}{2} \cdot \log p_i \leq |x_i| \leq x \leq x_1. \quad (5.12)$$

LEMMA 5.4. *Suppose that*

$$|\tilde{\Lambda}| > \sum_{i=1}^s |x_i|. \quad (5.13)$$

Then

$$|x_i| < \log \left[\gamma \cdot C \cdot \sqrt{2} / \left(|\lambda| - \sum_{i=1}^s |x_i| \right) \right] / (1-\delta) \cdot \log p_i. \quad (5.14)$$

Remark. Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4 are refinements of Lemma 3.8, in that they differentiate between the different x_i . Moreover, Lemma 5.3 has slightly sharper condition and conclusion than Lemma 3.7.

Proofs (of Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4). Analogous to the proofs of Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8, using (5.2) and

$$\frac{|x_i|}{p_i} \leq \max(x, y) = x < 2 \cdot |\Lambda|^{-1/2}.$$

□

THEOREM 5.5. *The diophantine inequality*

$$0 < x - y < \sqrt{y}$$

in $x, y \in S = \{2^{x_1} \cdots 13^{x_6} \mid x_i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, 6\}$ with $(x, y) = 1$ has exactly 605 solutions. Among those, 571 satisfy

$$\text{ord}_2(x \cdot y) \leq 19, \quad \text{ord}_3(x \cdot y) \leq 12, \quad \text{ord}_5(x \cdot y) \leq 8,$$

$$\text{ord}_7(x \cdot y) \leq 7, \quad \text{ord}_{11}(x \cdot y) \leq 5, \quad \text{ord}_{13}(x \cdot y) \leq 5.$$

The remaining 34 solutions are listed in Table III.

Remark. The upper bounds for $\text{ord}_{p_i}(xy)$ given for the 571 solutions not listed in Table III are chosen such that it takes a reasonable amount of computer time to find them all by a brute force method. The list of all 605 solutions is too extensive to be reproduced here.

Proof. By the example at the end of Section 5.2 we know that $X < X_0$ for $X_0 = 1.35 \times 10^{36}$. We apply the method described in Section 3.7. Take $C = 10^{240}$ (which is chosen so that it is somewhat larger than X_0^6), and $\gamma = 1$. We applied the L^3 -algorithm to the corresponding lattice Γ_1 , and found a reduced basis $\underline{c}_1, \dots, \underline{c}_6$ with $|\underline{c}_1| > 9.40 \times 10^{39}$. So Lemma 3.4 yields

$$\ell(\Gamma_1) > 2^{-5/2} \cdot 9.40 \times 10^{39} > 1.66 \times 10^{39}.$$

This is larger than $\sqrt{(4 \cdot 6^2 + 5 \cdot 1^2)} \cdot X_0 = 1.64 \dots \times 10^{37}$, so (5.11) holds with $X_1 = X_0$. By Lemma 5.3 we find

$$X < \log(10^{240} \cdot \sqrt{2/6} \cdot 1.35 \times 10^{36}) / \frac{1}{2} \log 2 < 1350.4,$$

so $X \leq 1350$. Next we choose $C = 10^{32}$, $\gamma = 1$, and $X_0 = 1350$. The reduced basis of the corresponding lattice Γ_2 was computed, and we found $|\underline{c}_1| > 2.71 \times 10^5$. Hence $\ell(\Gamma_2) > 4.79 \times 10^4$, which is larger than $\sqrt{149} \cdot 1350 = 1.64 \dots \times 10^4$. Hence Lemma 5.3 yields for all $i = 1, \dots, 6$

$$|x_i| < \log(10^{32} \cdot \sqrt{2/6} \cdot 1350) / \frac{1}{2} \log p_i,$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |x_1| &\leq 187, & |x_2| &\leq 118, & |x_3| &\leq 80, \\ |x_4| &\leq 66, & |x_5| &\leq 54, & |x_6| &\leq 50. \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Next we choose $C = 10^{12}$, $\gamma = 10^4$. We use Lemma 5.4 as follows. If $|\lambda| > 10^6$ then (5.13) holds by (5.15), and Lemma 5.4 yields

$$\begin{aligned} |x_1| &\leq 67, & |x_2| &\leq 42, & |x_3| &\leq 29, \\ |x_4| &\leq 24, & |x_5| &\leq 19, & |x_6| &\leq 18. \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

All vectors in the corresponding lattice Γ_3 satisfying (5.15) and $|\lambda| < 10^6$ have been computed with the Fincke and Pohst algorithm, cf. Section 3.6. We omit details. We found that there exist only two such vectors, but they do not correspond to solutions of (5.1). Hence all solutions of (5.1) satisfy (5.16). Next, we choose $C = 10^8$, $\gamma = 10^4$. If $|\lambda| > 5 \times 10^5$ then Lemma 5.4 yields

$$\begin{aligned} |x_1| &\leq 42, \quad |x_2| \leq 27, \quad |x_3| \leq 18, \\ |x_4| &\leq 15, \quad |x_5| \leq 12, \quad |x_6| \leq 11. \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

There are 143 vectors in the corresponding lattice Γ_4 satisfying (5.16) and $|\lambda| \leq 5 \times 10^5$. Of them, 2 correspond to solutions of (4.1), namely those with

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, \dots, x_6) &= (-7, -5, 3, -9, -3, 8), \quad \lambda = 257674, \\ (x_1, \dots, x_6) &= (-10, 10, -6, 5, -6, 4), \quad \lambda = 144817. \end{aligned}$$

Both also satisfy (5.17). Hence all solutions of (5.1) satisfy (5.17). At this point it seems inefficient to choose appropriate parameters C, γ , and a bound for $|\lambda|$ to repeat the procedure with. But the bounds of (5.17) are small enough to admit enumeration. Doing so, we found the result. \square

Remark. Theorems 5.2 and 5.5 find applications in solving other exponential diophantine equations, see Stroeker and Tijdeman [1982], Alex [1985^a], [1985^b], Tijdeman and Wang [1987], and Section 6.4 of this thesis.

Remark. The computation of the reduced basis of Γ_1 took 113 sec, where we applied the L³-algorithm as we described it in Section 3.5, in 12 steps. The direct search for the solutions of (5.17) took 228 sec. The remaining computations (computation of the $\log p_i$ up to 250 decimal digits, of the reduced basis of Γ_2 , and of the short vectors in Γ_3 and Γ_4) took 8 sec. Hence in total we used 349 sec.

5.5. Tables.

Table I. (Theorem 5.2(a)) : see p. 114-115.

Table II. (Theorem 5.2(b)) : see p. 116-117.

Table III. (Theorem 5.5).

X_1	X_2	X_3	X_4	X_5	X_6	X	X'	$X - Y$
-1	11	-1	0	6	0	17 71561	17 71470	91
0	4	5	1	-6	0	17 71875	17 71561	314
21	-2	-2	-1	-3	0	20 97152	20 96325	827
1	13	-1	-3	-1	-2	31 88646	31 88185	461
19	0	0	-8	1	0	57 67168	57 64801	2367
6	2	-1	1	6	3	88 58304	88 57805	499
-2	15	-1	-2	-4	0	143 48907	143 48180	727
11	-15	0	2	1	1	143 50336	143 48907	1429
1	8	-1	-8	0	3	288 29034	288 24005	5029
-22	5	1	-1	1	3	293 62905	293 60128	2777
13	1	3	-1	1	6	337 92000	337 87663	4337
1	2	9	-4	-4	0	351 56250	351 53041	3209
3	3	0	4	2	7	627 52536	627 48517	4019
-26	1	0	5	3	0	671 10351	671 08864	1487
3	-13	10	-2	0	0	781 25000	781 21827	3173
8	-2	-10	4	1	1	878 95808	878 90625	5183
25	1	-4	0	5	0	1006 63296	1006 56875	6421
-6	1	-2	-6	0	7	1882 45551	1882 38400	7151
8	-13	0	3	-2	3	1929 14176	1929 13083	1093
1	-13	-3	7	2	0	1992 97406	1992 90375	7031
-4	-1	-4	1	-4	7	4392 39619	4392 30000	9619
-4	2	-11	2	6	0	7812 58401	7812 50000	8401
16	-3	5	1	-1	-6	14336 00000	14335 62273	37727
-8	8	0	-8	3	2	14758 24779	14757 89056	35723
-5	-2	-5	11	0	-3	19773 26743	19773 00000	26743
-25	7	1	0	-2	5	40600 88955	40600 86272	2683
2	0	13	-9	-2	0	48828 12500	48827 86447	26053
-14	19	-2	-4	1	-1	1 27848 76137	1 27848 44800	31337
-24	-1	-2	12	-1	0	1 38412 87201	1 38412 03200	84001
-5	5	10	0	1	-8	2 61035 15625	2 61033 83072	1 32553
2	-4	-9	3	7	-2	2 67363 98612	2 67363 28125	70487
18	7	0	-13	0	2	9 68892 08832	9 68890 10407	1 98425
7	-5	3	-9	-3	8	1305 16915 36000	1305 16881 72831	33 63169
-10	10	-6	5	-6	4	2834 49801 04623	2834 49760 00000	41 04623

Table 1. (Theorem 5.2(a)).

ρ_1	x_1	ρ_1^{Σ}	ρ_2	x_2	ρ_2^{Σ}	delta
2	3	8	3	2	9	0.00000
3	3	27	5	2	25	0.21534
2	5	32	3	3	27	0.48332
5	3	125	11	2	121	0.28906
2	7	128	11	2	121	0.40575
2	7	128	5	3	125	0.22754
2	8	256	3	5	243	0.46694
2	7	343	19	2	361	0.49512
2	9	512	23	2	529	0.45416
3	7	2187	13	3	2197	0.29941
3	7	2187	47	2	2209	0.40194
13	3	2197	47	2	2209	0.32293
19	3	6859	83	2	6889	0.38804
31	3	29791	173	2	29929	0.47828
2	15	32768	181	2	32761	0.18116
13	7	627	48517	89	627	0.48403
2	50	12589	99068	42624	42241	0.85259
7	18	1	62841	35979	10449	1 11913 04731 02767
19	12	2	21331	49190	66161	1 63043 64614 03549
2	51	2	25179	98136	85248	2 25229 22321 39041
2	51	2	25179	98136	85248	2 21331 49190 66161
2	51	2	38418	57910	15625	2 25229 22321 39041
5	22	2	38418	57910	15625	2 35124 32775 37493
13	14	3	93737	63856	99289	3 93658 88057 02081
17	13	9	90457	80329	05937	9 97473 03260 05057
7	19	11	39889	51853	73143	11 51499 04768 98413
61	9	11	69414	60928	34141	11 51499 04768 98413
5	23	11	92092	89550	78125	11 69414 60928 34141
5	23	11	92092	89550	78125	12 20050 97657 05829
29	11	12	20050	97657	05829	12 35866 42791 61399
23	12	21	91462	44320	20321	21 61148 23132 84249
11	16	45	94972	98635	72161	45 84850 07184 49031
5	24	59	60464	47753	90625	58 87158 67082 67913
37	11	177	91762	17794	60413	174 88747 03655 13049
29	12	353	81478	32054	69041	350 35640 37074 85209
23	13	504	03636	19364	67383	498 31141 43181 21121
23	13	504	03636	19364	67383	511 11675 33006 41401
11	17	505	44702	84992	93771	498 31141 43181 21121

11	17	505	44702	84992	93771	23	504	03636	19364	67383				
11	17	505	44702	84992	93771	59	511	11675	33006	41401				
21	21	558	54586	40832	84007	41	550	32903	17162	48441				
7	21	799	00668	57828	84121	31	787	66278	37885	49761				
19	14	799	00668	57828	84121	12	802	35917	84760	91681				
19	14	799	00668	57828	84121	8	1151	93665	78235	00641				
19	14	1152	92150	46068	46976	181	1838	45221	24201	54507				
2	60	1822	83780	45517	61449	107	2459	37419	15531	18401				
67	10	2472	15921	50840	12303	199	8594	75474	86093	97987				
47	11	8650	41591	93813	37933	127	9269	03592	93721	91597				
13	17	9223	37203	68547	75808	53	36197	31987	96201	91349				
2	63	36472	99637	71707	86403	149	37252	90298	46191	40625				
3	41	36893	48814	74191	03232	5	73742	41268	94928	26049				
2	65	73786	97629	48382	06464	97	0.83799							
2	66	1	09418	98913	15123	59209	101	1	10462	21254				
3	42	2	95147	90517	93528	25856	29	2	11204	51001				
2	68	3	39456	73899	22223	14849	191	3	68155	95733				
113	10	4	91258	90425	67261	54641	199	4	38298	68155				
53	12	9	31322	57461	54785	15625	41	89415	46411	90705				
5	30	54	80386	85778	48021	85939	47	9	25103	10231	50136			
19	17	61	32610	41568	0996	48961	151	54	60999	70612	05831			
23	16	94	44732	96573	92904	27392	7	10	62677	95033	67185			
2	73	377	78931	86295	71617	09568	181	10	63	87480	33764			
2	75	377	78931	86295	71617	09568	41	14	377	38596	84695			
2	75	1	379	29227	19491	55588	02161	14	379	29227	19491			
3	49	1	2392	99329	23061	75295	90083	181	10	377	38596	84695		
3	49	1	2470	64529	70375	03927	04413	181	10	2390	72435	68515		
13	21	14257	60886	84617	89454	47841	89	12	2464	70403	56526			
103	12	21536	93963	07555	77663	10747	157	11	14285	52404	46318			
3	51	32199	05755	81317	97268	37607	163	11	21580	60662	62396			
7	29	98497	32675	80761	10947	11841	61	22	32118	38877	95485			
11	24	1	23375	11914	21716	63622	74241	14	61	98768	32533	36131		
37	16	2	1	93428	13113	83406	67952	98816	191	11	1	23414	74201	
2	84	2	1	93428	13113	83406	67952	98816	199	11	2	25501	16774	
2	84	2	1	93832	45667	68001	98967	96723	199	11	1	93813	41794	
3	53	3	1	93832	45667	68001	98967	96723	199	11	1	93813	41794	
3	53	3	1	123	79400	40290	69225	80878	63249	31	17	123	63541	
2	84	2	1	17	587	44031	063360	42001	88795	53643	71	15	587	32059
43	17	2	9	63382	53001	14114	70074	83516	02688	97	15	63325	11891	
2	99	2	102	5	07060	24009	12917	60598	68128	21504	83	16	5	07282
13	28	15	50293	28026	62396	21526	95551	05521	89	16	15	49673	14251	
											89377	30561		

Table II. (Theorem 5.2(b)).

p_1	x_1	p_1^V	p_2	N_1	p_2^V	delta
2	3	8	3	2	9	0.00000
3	3	27	5	2	25	0.21534
2	5	32	3	3	27	0.48832
2	5	32	6	2	36	0.40000
5	3	125	11	2	121	0.28906
2	7	128	11	2	121	0.40575
2	7	128	5	3	125	0.22754
6	3	216	15	2	225	0.40876
2	8	256	3	5	243	0.46694
7	3	343	19	2	361	0.49512
2	9	512	23	2	529	0.45416
2	10	1024	10	3	1000	0.46007
6	4	1296	11	3	1331	0.49607
12	3	1728	42	2	1764	0.48070
2	11	2048	45	2	2025	0.41184
3	7	2187	13	3	2197	0.29941
3	7	2187	47	2	2209	0.40194
13	3	2197	47	2	2209	0.32293
15	4	50625	37	3	50633	0.30762
6	7	279936	23	4	279841	0.36309
2	50	12589	99068	42624	1	11913 04731 02767
2	50	12589	99068	42624	1	15683 13814 26176
24	11	52168	11431	69024	1	53157 89852 64449
15	13	1	52168	11431	1	195312 50000 00000
1	94619	50683	59375	50	2	21331 49190 66161
2	51	25179	98136	85248	3	67034 44869 87776
6	20	65615	84400	62976	4	09600 00000 00000
11	15	4	17724	81694	15651	0.89095
28	11	8	29350	94674	71872	0.89154
10	16	10	00000	00000	00000	0.87396
5	23	11	92092	89550	78125	0.89862
2	54	18	01439	85094	81984	0.89096
23	12	21	91462	44320	20321	0.88656
6	21	21	93695	06403	77856	0.88845
6	21	21	93695	06403	77856	0.88735
36	2	36	02879	70189	63968	0.88735

19	13	42	05298	34622	57059	46	10	42	42074	74827	76576	0.87619			
3	35	50	03154	50989	99707	33	11	50	54210	65137	26817	0.88076			
13	15	51	18589	30140	90757	33	11	50	54210	65137	26817	0.88656			
26	12	95	42895	66616	82176	35	11	96	54915	73730	46875	0.88631			
35	11	96	54915	73730	46875	50	10	97	65625	00000	00000	0.88575			
14	15	155	56809	55578	12224	21	13	154	47237	77391	19461	0.87497			
11	17	505	44702	84992	93771	23	13	504	03636	19364	67383	0.85578			
7	21	558	54586	40832	84007	41	11	550	32903	17162	48441	0.89708			
6	23	789	73022	30536	02816	31	12	787	66278	37885	49761	0.85579			
6	23	789	73022	30536	02816	19	14	799	00668	57828	84121	0.89216			
19	14	799	00668	57828	84121	31	12	787	66278	37885	49761	0.89710			
26	13	2481	15287	33037	36576	47	11	2472	15921	50840	12303	0.86779			
28	13	6502	11142	24979	47648	37	12	6582	95200	58400	35811	0.89872			
15	16	6568	40835	57128	90625	28	13	6502	11142	24979	47648	0.89414			
15	16	6568	40835	57128	90625	37	12	6582	95200	58400	35811	0.85892			
2	65	36893	48814	74191	03232	5	28	37252	90298	46191	40525	0.89721			
37	13	2	43569	22421	60813	05397	50	12	2	44140	62500	00000	0.87101		
2	68	6	72749	90517	93528	25856	29	14	2	97558	23267	57994	63481		
11	20	9	31322	57461	54785	15625	41	13	6	71088	64000	00000	0.87486		
5	30	35	41	39545	12236	93847	65625	46	13	9	25103	10231	50136	29211	
19	17	54	80386	85778	48021	85939	47	13	41	29065	87698	35408	01336		
6	28	61	40942	21446	48154	97216	23	16	54	60990	70612	05831	77127		
2	73	94	44732	96573	92904	27392	7	26	61	32610	41568	09986	48661		
20	17	131	07200	00000	00000	38	14	93	87480	33764	75543	05649			
2	74	188	89465	93147	85808	54784	39	14	130	90925	53986	67734	38644		
2	75	377	78931	86295	71617	09568	41	14	188	32349	19413	17426	09041		
3	49	2392	99329	23061	75295	90083	17	19	379	29227	19491	55588	02161		
15	20	3235	25673	00796	50878	90625	37	15	2390	72435	68515	13248	0.87071		
19	19	19784	19655	66031	33891	23979	33	16	3334	46267	95181	53070	88493		
7	29	32199	05755	81317	97268	37607	13	22	19779	85201	46255	88779	0.84943		
2	84	1	93428	13113	83406	67952	89816	3	53	32118	38877	95485	51051	57369	
7	30	2	25393	40290	69225	80878	63249	31	17	1	93832	45667	68001	98967	96723
11	25	10	83410	59433	88372	20418	30251	34	17	2	25501	16774	16274	31786	82911
14	23	22	95836	92886	98149	54822	20544	18	21	10	84280	35605	96593	23542	07744
23	20	171	61558	31334	58634	29238	95201	40	17	171	79869	18400	00000	00000	0.89088
6	35	171	90707	99748	42259	10286	58176	23	20	171	61558	31334	58634	29238	95201
6	35	171	90707	99748	42259	10286	58176	40	17	171	79869	18400	00000	00000	0.88230
15	25	25251	16829	40423	48861	69433	59375	18	18	25259	93335	73498	06081	18208	06649